Hedonic Price Function

Foundations • The Valuation Engineer

Bert Craytor• July 9, 2026
Version 1.0.0
DOI: 10.67330/9x1a0489

Formal definition. The hedonic price function is the equilibrium mapping from points in the characteristics space of a heterogeneous good to transaction prices, formed as the envelope of buyer bid functions and seller offer functions in a competitive market.

Intuitive framing. We now have two ingredients: a heterogeneous good (a bundle of characteristics) and a characteristics space (a vector space in which each bundle is a point). The hedonic price function combines them. It is the function $p(\mathbf{z})$ that assigns a price to each point $\mathbf{z}$ in the characteristics space, telling us what the market pays for a bundle with that particular combination of attributes.

The key insight from Rosen (1974) is that $p(\mathbf{z})$ is not chosen by any single buyer or seller. It emerges from market equilibrium. Each buyer has a *bid function* expressing the maximum they would pay for any bundle, given their preferences and income. Each seller has an *offer function* expressing the minimum they would accept, given their costs and alternatives. The hedonic price function is the envelope of these bids and offers — the boundary along which buyers and sellers actually transact.

For real estate, $p(\mathbf{z})$ is the answer to the question: if you specified a complete bundle of characteristics, what price would the market produce for it? The answer is not arbitrary. It reflects the aggregate preferences of all buyers in the market and the aggregate costs and alternatives of all sellers, mediated by the equilibrium matching of buyers to bundles.

The hedonic price function is the central theoretical object of hedonic analysis. Implicit prices are partial derivatives of it. Hedonic regression is an empirical estimate of it. The defensibility of any sales-comparison adjustment ultimately reduces to a claim about this function.

Where appraisers encounter it. Appraisers reason about $p(\mathbf{z})$ continually, again usually without naming it. Several practice activities are implicit manipulations of the hedonic price function:

Adjustments in the sales comparison approach. When an appraiser adjusts Comp B upward by $100,000 to account for the fact that the subject has a view and Comp B does not, the appraiser is asserting a claim about $p(\mathbf{z})$: namely, that holding all other characteristics fixed, the function increases by $100,000 as the view characteristic moves from 0 to 1. This is a statement about the local slope of p in the view dimension.

Reconciliation between approaches. The cost approach constructs a value indication from below, summing the component costs of a replicated bundle. The income approach derives value from cash flows, which in equilibrium reflect the price of bundles that produce similar cash flows. The sales comparison approach reads price directly from observed transactions of similar bundles. In a well-functioning market, all three approaches should converge on the same point on $p(\mathbf{z})$. When they diverge, the appraiser must judge which approach has measured the function most reliably for the subject's location in characteristics space.

Market analysis and time adjustments. The hedonic price function shifts over time as supply, demand, financing, and expectations change. A 6-percent annual appreciation rate is a claim about how $p(\mathbf{z})$ has shifted as a whole; a finding that view-premium properties have

appreciated faster than no-view properties is a claim that the function has shifted unevenly across the characteristics space.

Why it matters for defensibility. Naming the hedonic price function explicitly clarifies several foundational defensibility questions:

Does the function exist? The Rosen framework assumes a competitive market with enough transactions that an equilibrium function is meaningful. In thin markets, in rapidly changing markets, or in submarkets with idiosyncratic buyer pools, the function may be poorly defined or unstable. An appraiser working in such a market should acknowledge that the inferential machinery is operating on a shaky theoretical foundation.

Is the function the same everywhere? Rosen's framework yields one function per market segment, not one function globally. Pacifica and San Francisco are distinct markets with distinct hedonic functions; single-family homes and condominiums are distinct submarkets even within a single city. An appraiser conflating segments is implicitly assuming a single function spans both, which is usually wrong.

What functional form does p take? The function need not be linear, log-linear, or any other specific form. Rosen showed only that p is the envelope of bids and offers; he did not specify its shape. Empirically, p exhibits nonlinearities (the marginal value of an additional bedroom is often nonconstant in GLA), interactions (view premium depends on lot orientation), and thresholds (a kitchen below some quality bar may attract a discount disproportionate to its condition score). Defensible estimation requires either a functional form supportable from the market or a flexible estimator capable of recovering the shape from data.

Worked appraisal example. For the Pacifica neighborhood under study, we will work with a simple additive functional form that the next entry will exercise more fully:

$$p(\mathbf{z}) \;=\; \beta_0 \;+\; \beta_{\text{GLA}}\, z_{\text{GLA}} \;+\; \beta_{\text{lot}}\, z_{\text{lot}} \;+\; \beta_{\text{view}}\, z_{\text{view}} \;+\; \beta_{\text{cond}}\, z_{\text{cond}}.$$

This form embeds two strong assumptions: that the contribution of each characteristic is linear in its level, and that the characteristics do not interact. Both assumptions are testable and frequently violated; we adopt them here as a starting point.

For the eight comps in this issue's running example, our analysis in the next entries will estimate values of β that yield a function something like:

Parameter	Estimated value
β_0 (intercept)	\$80,777
β_{GLA} (per sf)	\$575
β_{lot} (per sf)	\$22.70
β_{view} (yes/no)	\$86,179
β_{cond} (per step)	\$49,824

Plugged into the additive form, this function predicts a price for any point in the four-dimensional characteristics space. Substituting Comp A's coordinates yields a predicted price near its observed \$1,425,000; substituting the hypothetical subject at $(1700, 7500, 1, 2)$ yields a value indication for that property.

The point of the entry is not these specific numbers but the conceptual move: *the function exists, in principle, before we estimate it.* The function is a property of the market. Our estimation is an attempt to recover it. The recovery may be partial, biased, or noisy, and the next three entries are about how to do that recovery responsibly.

References.

Court, A. T. (1939). Hedonic Price Indexes with Automotive Examples. In *The Dynamics of Automobile Demand*. General Motors Corporation.

Rosen, S. (1974). Hedonic Prices and Implicit Markets: Product Differentiation in Pure Competition. *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(1), 34–55.

Triplett, J. E. (2006). *Handbook on Hedonic Indexes and Quality Adjustments in Price Indexes*. OECD Publishing.

Cross-references: heterogeneous good; *characteristics space*; *implicit price*; *hedonic regression*.

Addendum: Prolog Formalization

The following machine-readable companion (`003-hedonic-price-function.pl`) formalizes this entry in the journal's Prolog knowledge base. It is also published as a browsable galley alongside this article.

```
%% 003-hedonic-price-function.pl
%%
%% Prolog companion to Foundations Vol. 1, Issue 1, Article 003
%%   Title:    Hedonic Price Function
%%   Author:   Bert Craytor
%%   Concept:  hedonic_price_function
%%   Version:  0.1.0-draft

% ========================================================================
% DICTIONARY IMPORT
% ========================================================================

:- use_module('../../../../tools/dictionary/dictionary').
:- dictionary_release('dictionary-2026.1').

% --- From the dictionary (loaded via :- use_module above) -----------
% term(hedonic_price_function, "Hedonic Price Function", economic_theory,
%      "The hedonic price function is the equilibrium mapping from
%       points in the characteristics space of a heterogeneous good to
%       transaction prices, formed as the envelope of buyer bid
%       functions and seller offer functions in a competitive market.",
%      published, 'dictionary-2026.1').
%
% term(bid_function, "Bid Function", economic_theory, ...).
% term(offer_function, "Offer Function", economic_theory, ...).
% term(sales_comparison_approach, ...).
% term(cost_approach, ...).
% term(income_approach, ...).
%
% formalized_by(hedonic_price_function, rosen_1974).
% depends_on(hedonic_price_function, heterogeneous_good).
% depends_on(hedonic_price_function, characteristics_space).
% ------------------------------------------------------------------------

:- use_terms([ hedonic_price_function, heterogeneous_good,
               characteristics_space, implicit_price, hedonic_regression,
               bid_function, offer_function,
               sales_comparison_approach, cost_approach, income_approach,
               adjustment, reconciliation ]).
:- use_relations([related/2, depends_on/2, formalized_by/2]).
```

```
% ========================================================================
% ARTICLE SUBJECT
% ========================================================================

article_concept(hedonic_price_function).
article_issue(volume(1), number(1), year(2026)).
article_id('foundations.2026.003').

% ========================================================================
% LOCAL VOCABULARY
% ========================================================================

local_term(equilibrium).
local_term(envelope).
local_term(functional_form).
local_term(market_segment).
local_term(linearity).
local_term(separability).
local_term(time_adjustment).
local_term(coefficient).
local_term(intercept).

% ========================================================================
% FORMAL ATTRIBUTION
% ========================================================================

attributed_formalization(hedonic_price_function, rosen_1974).

% ========================================================================
% CONSTRUCTION: ENVELOPE OF BID AND OFFER FUNCTIONS
% ========================================================================
%
% The Rosen (1974) construction: p(z) is the envelope of buyer bid
% functions and seller offer functions.

primitive_of_construction(bid_function,   buyer).
primitive_of_construction(offer_function, seller).

constructed_as_envelope_of(hedonic_price_function,
                           [bid_function, offer_function]).

emerges_from(hedonic_price_function, market_equilibrium).

% Property that flows from the construction: the function is not
% chosen by any single market participant.
property(hedonic_price_function, not_set_by_individual_participant).
property(hedonic_price_function, equilibrium_object).
property(hedonic_price_function, defined_per_market_segment).

% ========================================================================
% APPRAISER ENCOUNTERS | IMPLICIT MANIPULATIONS OF p(z)
% ========================================================================

implicit_manipulation_of_hedonic(
    adjustment,
    sales_comparison_approach,
    "Asserts a local slope of p in the relevant characteristic dimension.").
implicit_manipulation_of_hedonic(
```

```
    reconciliation,
    multi_approach_valuation,
    "Three approaches should converge on the same point of p; divergence requires editorial
   ↪ judgment.").
implicit_manipulation_of_hedonic(
    time_adjustment,
    market_analysis,
    "p shifts over time; appreciation rates are statements about that shift.").

% ======================================================================
% DEFENSIBILITY QUESTIONS
% ======================================================================
%
% The article names three questions the hedonic-price-function framing
% sharpens.

defensibility_question(function_existence,
    "In thin or rapidly-changing markets, the equilibrium function may be poorly defined; the
   ↪ inferential machinery operates on a shaky foundation.").
defensibility_question(segment_specificity,
    "One function per market segment, not one function globally. Conflating segments is usually
   ↪ wrong.").
defensibility_question(functional_form,
    "Rosen showed only that p is an envelope, not its shape. Empirically nonlinear with
   ↪ interactions and thresholds; estimation requires defending the form.").

% ======================================================================
% WORKED EXAMPLE: ADDITIVE LINEAR SPECIFICATION
% ======================================================================
%
% For the Pacifica neighborhood, the article adopts the additive linear
% specification:
%   p(z) = β0 + β_gla*z_gla + β_lot*z_lot + β_view*z_view + β_cond*z_cond
%
% The next entry (004) takes the coefficients as implicit prices; entry
% 005 estimates them by hedonic regression.

:- consult('../../kb/pacifica_comps').

specification_form(additive_linear).
specification_assumption(linearity_in_levels,
    "Contribution of each characteristic is linear in its level.").
specification_assumption(no_interactions,
    "Characteristics do not interact in the price function.").
specification_caveat(
    "Both assumptions are testable and frequently violated; adopted here as a starting point.").

% Coefficient values reported in the article (estimated in entry 005,
% asserted here so this entry's worked example can be queried).
coefficient(intercept,        80777.07).
coefficient(beta_gla,           574.93).
coefficient(beta_lot,            22.70).
coefficient(beta_view,        86179.03).
coefficient(beta_cond,        49824.33).

%! predicted_price(?Property, -Predicted) is det.
%
% The fitted additive-linear hedonic price function applied to a
% property's characteristics. Symbolic view/cond are mapped to {0,1}
```

```
% and {1,2,3} respectively.

predicted_price(P, Predicted) :-
    coord(P, gla_sqft, GLA),
    coord(P, lot_sqft, Lot),
    coord(P, view, View),
    coord(P, cond_numeric, Cond),
    coefficient(intercept, B0),
    coefficient(beta_gla,  Bg),
    coefficient(beta_lot,  Bl),
    coefficient(beta_view, Bv),
    coefficient(beta_cond, Bc),
    Predicted is B0 + Bg * GLA + Bl * Lot + Bv * View + Bc * Cond.

coord(P, A, N) :-
    attribute_value(P, A, V),
    ( number(V) -> N = V
    ; A == view, V == yes -> N = 1
    ; A == view, V == no  -> N = 0
    ; V = N
    ).

% ======================================================================
% THE CENTRAL CONCEPTUAL MOVE
% ======================================================================
%
% The function exists, in principle, before estimation. The function is
% a property of the market; estimation is recovery.

claim(function_precedes_estimation,
    "The hedonic price function is a property of the market and exists conceptually prior to any
   ↪specific fitted model. Estimation is recovery, and recovery may be partial, biased, or noisy
   ↪.").

% ======================================================================
% CROSS-REFERENCES
% ======================================================================

cross_reference(heterogeneous_good).
cross_reference(characteristics_space).
cross_reference(implicit_price).
cross_reference(hedonic_regression).
```